INTERCEPTION OF O_2 ⁻ BY BENZOQUINONE IN CYANOAROMATIC-SENSITIZED PHOTOOXYGENATIONS

Lewis E. Manring, **M.** K. Kramer and Christopher S. Foote Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry University of California, Los Angeles California, 90024

Abstract: Benzoquinone decreases the rate of dicyanoanthracene-sensitized photooxidation of trans-stilbene, apparently by intercepting the reactant $0₂$.

We have reported the electron transfer initiated oxidation of trans-stilbene (TS) sensitized by the singlet excited state of dicyanoanthracene (DCA).^{1,2} We proposed that oxidation products were formed by reaction of TS^+ with 0_2 ⁻ (Scheme 1). The characteristic absorption spectrum of TS^+ was observed in these reactions by laser flash photolysis.² The presence of 0_2 , formed by oxidation of DCA⁻ by 30_2 , was not directly established, but was inferred from the exothermicity of the electron, transfer from DCA⁻ to 0₂ (0.7 kcal/mole)¹ and the rapid disappearance of DCA⁻ absorbance in the flash system in the presence of 0^{2} .

Scheme 1:

The intermediacy of $0₂$ in these reactions remains questionable. Several other oxygenation reactions of olefins (01) are known to proceed via an olefin radical cation^{3,4}; in most of these reactions, $0₂$ is not present, so that $30₂$ must be the oxygen source; a chain process is often the major reaction path:

$$
01 \quad \frac{}{\text{for} 1} \rightarrow 01^{\frac{1}{2}} - 02 \rightarrow 01 \cdot 02^{\frac{1}{2}} - 01 \cdot 02 + 01^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

For example, adamantylideneadamantane radical cation (Ad=Ad⁺) reacts with 30 ₂ in a chain process leading predominantly to dioxetane.^{3,4} This reaction seems to be an example of the Barton-Haynes mechanism, originally proposed for diene systems.⁵⁻⁷ An analogous reaction is the TiO₂ sensitized photooxidation of olefins. $8,9$ The radical cation is also intermediate in this reaction, but O₂⁻ was discounted because of the lack of inhibition by phenylglyoxylic acid, a superoxide trap. We now report that the DCA-sensitized photooxidation of TS is inhibited by benzoquinone (BQ), an excellent trap for superoxide¹⁰, which provides strong additional support for intermediacy of 0_2 .

Addition of 2.5 X 10^{-3} M BQ to the DCA (2 x 10^{-4} M) sensitized photooxidation of 0.1 M TS in CH₃CN reduced the rate of formation of C₆H₅CHO by a factor of 15 to >40 under O₂ or air, respectively¹¹ (Table 1). The added BQ had similar effects on the minor products, trans-stilbene epoxide and bensil, reducing them below the limits of detection, but had little effect on the amount of cis-stilbene formed during photolysis. Addition of more BQ had little further effect on the reaction, suggesting that the affected species are already trapped at the concentration used.

[BO], M		Relative Product Amounts			
	Atmosphere	$C_{6}H_{5}CHO$	cis Stilbene	Stilbene Epoxide	Benzil
0	0,	116 ± 2	$1.90 \pm$.03	26.9 ± 1.0	16.3 ± 1.1
0	Air	206 ± 9	1.00 ± 0.10	45.8 ± 4.0	29.4 ± 1.8
2.5 \times 10 ⁻³	0,	7.8 ± 0.3	3.07 ± 0.07	$<$.05	< .05
2.5 \times 10 ⁻³	Air	4.7 ± 0.1	2.00 ± 0.13	< .05	< .05

Table 1. Effects of Benzoquinone and Stilbene Concentration on Rates of **Trans-Stilbene (0.1 I\$ Oxidation.a**

a. Average of at least two independent runs. Errors are range of values.

There are four intermediates that BQ might affect: 1 DCA, TS⁺, DCA⁻ and O₂⁻. At 2.5 X 10⁻³ M, BQ cannot compete with 0.1 M TS for ¹DCA since TS quenches it with $k_q = 1.88$ X 10¹⁰ M⁻¹ \sec^{-1} .¹⁴ It is unlikely that BQ affects TS^+ , because both species are electron deficient. However, BQ should oxidize both DCA⁻ and $0₂$ ⁻ at nearly diffusion-controlled rates because

electron transfer to BQ is strongly exothermic in both cases $(E_{1/2}: BQ/BQ^* = -0.51 V;$ ¹⁵ DCA/DCA⁻ = -0.97 V;¹ 0₂/0₂⁻ = -0.92 V¹). The most logical explanation for these effects is that removal of 0_2 ⁻ from the reaction causes a decrease in efficiency since TS⁺ can then react only with $30₂$, and that this reaction is much less efficient than that with $0₂$.

DCA
\n
$$
BQ
$$
\n
$$
BQ
$$
\n
$$
BQ
$$
\n
$$
TS^+ + O_2^- \longrightarrow
$$
\n
$$
TS^+ + 3O_2 \longrightarrow
$$
\n
$$
SIow
$$

Our results suggest that in sensitized oxidations, the reaction of 01^+ with 30^2 cannot compete with that with $0₂$ or sensitizer. Back electron transfer from radical anions stops the chain reaction with $30₂$, effectively removing this pathway. The comparatively slow interaction of TS⁺ with $30₂$ is consistent with previous findings of Schaap et al.¹⁶ and Clennan et al.⁴ which indicate that $30₂$ reacts slowly with stabilized radical cations. Clennan suggested that the slow reaction of 1,2-diphenylethylene radical cations reflects the extensive delocalixation of the odd electron density of these systems.

Schaap also showed that addition of $0₂$ to a solution of diphenyldioxene radical cation (DPD⁺) led to more efficient oxidation than reaction with $30₂$, but could not exclude the possibility that back electron transfer from 0_2^- to DPD⁺ formed 10_2 , which can react with DPD to give the dioxetane.¹⁶ We can exclude ¹O₂ as a source of C₆H₅CHO in the present case since TS reacts very slowly with $10₂$.¹⁷ We conclude that in agreement with our earlier proposal, $0₂$ is an important intermediate in the DCA sensitized photooxidations.

The data in Table 1 indicate that oxygen concentration can also effect the relative rates of product formation. In the absence of BQ, going from air to oxygen saturation decreases the amount of all oxidation products while increasing cis-stilbene formation. In contrast, when BQ is present, higher $[0_{2}]$ increases $C_{6}H_{5}$ CHO as well as cis-stilbene formation. These effects will require further study, but are too large to be accounted for solely by oxygen quenching of 1_{DCA} .

Acknowledgement: We thank the National Science Foundation for support through Grant CHE80-21560.

REFERENCES

- **(1)** Eriksen, J.; Foote, C.S. <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u> 1980, 102, 6083.
- (2) Spada, L.T.; Foote, C.S. <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u> 1980, 102, 391.
- (3) Nelson, S.F.; Akaba, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 2096.
- (4) Clennan, E.L.; Simmons, W.; Almgren, C.W. ibid. 1981, 103, 2098.
- (5) Barton, D.H.R.; LeClerc, G.; Magnus, P.D.; Menzies, I.D. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 11975, 2055.
- (6) Haynes, R.K. Aust, J. Chem. 1978, 31, 121, 131.
- (7) Tang, R.; Yue, H.J.; Wolf, J.F.; Mares, F.J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 100, 5248.
- (8) Fox, M. A.; Chen, C.-C. J. Am Chem Soc. 1981, 103 6757.
- (9) Fox, M. A.; Lindig, B.; Chen, C.-C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104 5828.
- (10) Greenstock, C. L.; Ruddock, G. W. <u>Int. J. Rad. Phys Chem.</u> 1976, <u>8</u>, 367; Afanasev, I. B.; Prigoda, S. V.; Maltseva, T. Y. A.; Samokhvalov, 0. I. <u>Int. J. Chem. Kin.</u> 1974 <u>6</u>, 643; Poupko, R.; Rosenthal, I. <u>J. Phys. Chem.</u> 1973
77, 1722; Hayon, E.; Simic ,M. <u>Accts. Chem. Res.</u> 1974 7, 114. Rao, P. S. ; Hayon, E. <u>Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.</u> 1973 51, 468.
- (11) Photolyses were carried out in₁3 merry-go-round apparatus designed for continuous gas saturation of the samples. ^{'2} The appearance of products was monitored by G.L.C. **(3%** carbowax column, diphenylmethane added as internal standard). The solutions were photolysed using a 1200 W medium-pressure Hanovia lamp in a water cooled immersion well. Two 1-cm pathlength filter solutions, the first consisting of 4.4 g CuSO_M.5H₂O with 200 ml conc. NH₁0H/1000 ml H₂0, the second consisting of 75 g NaN0₂/1000 ml H₂ 200 ml conc. NH₁0H/1000 ml H₂O, the second consisting of 75 g NaNO₂/1000 ml H₂O,
served to isolate the Hg lines at 405 and 436 nm. ¹³ All reactions were carried to <20% initial starting material disappearance. Under our conditions, DCA absorbed $>95\%$ of the light, BQ $<5\%$.
- **(12)** Manring, L.E. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles, 1982.
- **(13)** Calvert, J.G.; Pitts, J.N., Jr. wPhotochemistry," John Wiley *Sons,* Inc.; New York, 1966, 737.
- (14) Eriksen, J.; Foote, C.S. <u>J. Phys. Chem.</u> 1978, <u>82</u>, 2659.
- **(15)** Mann, C.K.; Barnes, K.K. "Electrochemical Reactions in Nonaqueous Systems"; M. Dekker, Inc.; *New* York, 1970.
- (16) Schaap, A.P.; Zaklika, B.K.; Fung, L.W.-M. <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u> 1980, 102, 389.
- (17) Manring, L.E.; Eriksen, J.; Foote, C.S. <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u> 1980, 1<u>0</u>2, 4275.

(Received in USA 11 August 1983)